

## **REMARKS**

### **Summary**

Claims 1-17 are currently pending with Claims 1, 6, 8 and 13 being independent claims and the remaining claims (Claims 2-5, 7, 9-12 and 14-17) being dependent claims. Claims 1, 6, 8 and 13 have been amended without adding new matter. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of Claims 1-17 in view of the amendments above and the remarks below.

### **Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102**

Claims 1-17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by Moyer et al. (U.S. Patent Publication 20040010567) (hereinafter, "Moyer et al.").

As shown, for example, in Fig. 1 of the present application and recited in each of the independent claims (Claims 1, 6, 8 and 13), the client device is different than the printer device.

On the other hand, the Moyer et al. reference teaches that the server 10 can communicate with the printer 30. However, the Moyer et al. reference does not teach or suggest that the server 10 communicates with a client device which is different from the printer 30 (the printer device).

Furthermore, the Moyer et al. reference fails to teach or suggest transmitting, to the client device different from the printer 30 (the printer device), information for causing the client device to acquire a state of processing of the print data transmitted to the printer 30 (the printer device).

As described above, the Moyer et al. reference does not teach or suggest all of the limitations of any of the independent claims (Claims 1, 6, 8 and 13). Therefore, Claims 1, 6, 8 and 13 are not anticipated by the Moyer et al. reference.

The remaining claims (Claims 2-5, 7, 9-12 and 14-17) are dependent claims. Because the independent claims are all believed allowable, as described above, all of the claims depending therefrom are also believed allowable for at least the same reasons as discussed above with reference to the independent claims. Furthermore, each dependent claim is also deemed to define an

additional aspect of the invention, and individual consideration of each on its own merits is respectfully requested.

As described above, all of the claims are believed allowable. Accordingly, Applicant request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of the claims.

### **CONCLUSION**

Applicant respectfully submits that all of the claims pending in the application meet the requirements for patentability and respectfully requests that the Examiner indicate the allowance of such claims.

Any amendments to the claims which have been made in this response which have not been specifically noted to overcome a rejection based upon prior art, should be considered to have been made for a purpose unrelated to patentability, and no estoppel should be deemed to attach thereto.

If any additional fee is required, please charge Deposit Account Number 502456.

Should the Examiner have any questions, the Examiner may contact Applicant's representative at the telephone number below.

Respectfully submitted,

August 29, 2008

/Marlene Klein/

Date

Marlene Klein, Reg. No. 43,718  
Patent Attorney for Applicant

Canon U.S.A. Inc., Intellectual Property Division  
15975 Alton Parkway  
Irvine, CA 92618-3731

Amendment for Application No.: 10/826,804  
Attorney Docket: CFA00075US

Telephone: (949) 932-3132  
Fax: (949) 932-3560